

Institute for Industrial Production Chair of Energy Economics Prof. Dr. Wolf Fichtner

Is Electric Mobility a Means for more Sustainability? Observations on the Mobility and Charging Behavior from an On-Road Test with Electric Scooters

Alexandra-Gwyn Paetz (paetz@kit.edu); Thomas Kaschub; Matthias Pfriem; Patrick Jochem; Wolf Fichtner; Frank Gauterin

■ 5:00 to 9:00

9:00 to 13:00

13:00 to 17:00

17:00 to 21:00

■ 21:00 to 05:00

Research Question Electric Vehicles (EV) can be a contributor to reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions, if ...

- ... EVs reduce the use of conventional vehicles and do not replace sustainable ways of transportation (e. g. public transportation)
- ... EVs are charged with electricity from renewable resources (RES)

It is, however, challenging to investigate these aspects, because...

- ...no observable sample → only few German household own an EV
- ... no incentives in place that motivate to shift charging at times when RES-electricity is available
- 1. Conventional2. Electric MobilityA. 2012= 5 weeks= 5 weeksMobility App
(GPS-Data &= Mobility App
= Electricity Metern = 10
- Two tests: April July 2012 (A) and 2013 (B)
- Each sample with 10 business-engineering students
- Tracking & analysis in two phases: conventional (1) and electric (2) mobility behavior



P B. 2013

I info)• E-ScooterN = 20
•15 maleImage: N = 10• 5 female
•22-25 yrs

- Main trip purposes: leisure (53 %), university (22 %)
- Main means of transportation: bicycle (43 %)
- Total number of trips increased in Phase B with e-scooters available
- E-scooters are used for short distances (~ 4 km) and mainly replace bicycles, public transportation, and walking



27%

17%

- Main charging strategy: only when necessary (9 % prior to trips)
 Charging starting time
- Charging mainly took place

- Additional data from a pre-post-questionnaire
- Focus groups on smart charging strategies (4 online and 1 face-to-face group)

No dominant parking location for e-scooters

- 35 % on public grounds \rightarrow dominant for short periods
- 32 % on semi-public grounds \rightarrow on campus locations
- 32 % on private grounds \rightarrow especially for longer



- Electricity prices played no role in the fieldoperational-test
- Decisive factor for charging: need (battery status),

Results: Mobility Behavior

Results: Charging Behavior at evening/night-times

High load-shifting potential, 28%
(i.e. time difference between
the car being plugged-in and charging)



organizational effort when no public infrastructure available

- Willingness to shift charging depends on
 - Charging costs and saving potentials
 - Smart charging solutions for more convenience



KIT – University of the State of Baden-Wuerttemberg and National Research Center of the Helmholtz Association

www.kit.edu