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Abstract

Climate change is a serious challenge of today. In order to reach the ambiguous mitigation scenarios for greenhouse gases, strong efforts are to be undertaken. Electric vehicles are seen as a potential mean to reduce emissions and energy import dependencies of most western societies. So far, the progress towards more electric vehicles in individual passenger transport is still slow. The objective to increase the share of electric vehicles of many national governments seems to be rather ambitious. In commercial transport, mileage is usually higher, trips are planned more precisely, and driving patterns are more regular than those of private vehicles. With these and other promising factors, we assume a high potential of electric vehicles in commercial transport. Therefore, we analyze in this paper the commercial transport in Germany and especially the large share of light commercial vehicles in order to make these potentials explicit. Based on German survey data we analyze the heterogeneous German economic sectors with top-down statistical values like daily distance categories and bottom-up values like driving and parking behaviors. By way of example, German postal services are evaluated in detail which leads to an electrification potential of between 60,750 and 105,550 vehicles. In case of ‘green’ electricity for charging postal services can avoid up to 882,000 t\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}}/a, which is about 40 to 70 percent.
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1 Introduction

Two main goals of the energy policy of the European Union are the reduction of carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions and energy consumption. The objectives are ambitious with a reduction in CO₂ emissions by 80% and energy savings of 30% until 2050 (compared to 2005) (European Commission 2011). Transport is responsible for about 23% of the European CO₂ emissions. Many other sectors decreased their emissions in the last years, but the transport sector shows a significant increase (Eurostat 2013). About 75% of these CO₂ emissions come from road transport (Eurostat 2013). So far most policy instruments fail to reduce CO₂ emissions in road transport in Europe (Bertoldi et al. 2011). Some studies identified high marginal abatement costs for CO₂ emissions (ICCT 2013; IKA 2012). Transforming a part of the fossil fuel based transport to electric mobility could reduce the emissions and energy resource demand, if considering an electricity generation based on ‘clean’ energies (e. g. renewable energy resources).

Electric vehicles (EV) have a long history, but in the last century they were inferior to internal combustion engine vehicles (ICEV) concerning vehicle price, range, and refueling (Abt 1998). Both in private and in commercial transport, the ICEV outnumber all other means of transport (IEA 2011). In recent years, however, efforts to promote and develop competitive EV have gathered momentum. The reasons for this are manifold. On the one hand, there are political ambitions to counteract man-made climate change and to reduce the import dependency on oil and local emissions (European Commission 2011; German Federal Government 2009; Proff and Kilian 2012; UN 1998; van Dender 2009). On the other hand, the technological developments of components for EV are promising (Amjad et al. 2010; Brauner et al. 2012; IEA 2009; Köhler 2009; Pregger et al. 2012). Especially the developments of high voltage batteries (i. e. lithium-ion), the hybridization of ICEV, and fuel cell developments are worth mentioning. Additionally, the buildup of charging infrastructures (Kley 2011), standardization processes (Parker 2009; NIST 2009; Uslar et al. 2009; 2011), and numerous research activities promote the integration of and interfaces between transport, energy and communication sectors (B.A.U.M. 2012; Pregger et al. 2012). Altogether, these efforts lead to a promising development of electric mobility. This is confirmed by several scenarios (Kay et al. 2013; Mock 2010; Pehnt et al. 2011), market potential analysis (Gnann et al. 2013; Pfahl et al. 2013) and government objectives (Proff and Kilian 2012). For 2020, the worldwide sales targets are expected to increase to 8 million EV and plug-in hybrid electric vehicles (PHEV) (IEA 2011).

Looking at Germany, the objective of the federal government is to have a fleet of one million EV (2% of the vehicle fleet) in 2020 and of up to six million EV (>10% of the vehicle fleet) in 2030 (German Federal Government 2010). The promotion in Germany is mainly accomplished by supporting research and development rather than directly subsidizing the purchase of EV, as it is done in other countries. The process is accompanied by the German National Platform for Electric Mobility (NPE 2010; 2011; 2012) that represents all German stakeholders of automotive industry as well as related organizations, politicians, and research institutes. Nevertheless, in Germany only 72 thousand electric cars have been registered at the beginning of 2013 out of 52,391 thousand of the whole fleet (42 million cars and other vehicles) (KBA 2013). This is not surprising if one considers that in 2012, the first EV by German original equipment manufacturers came on the market (e. g. Smart ED and Opel Ampera).

In particular, the present state of EV is analyzed in field tests, the acceptance in society is promoted, and integration of the involved sectors is supported (B.A.U.M. 2012; BMVBS 2011a; BMWi 2012). Even though today, the variable costs of EV are lower than those of ICEV, the fixed costs of EV are higher and these vehicles lack in sufficient range and loading space (especially, this is true for battery electric vehicles (BEV)) (Ensslen et al. 2013; van Vliet et al. 2011). Hence, one needs to find fields of operation for EV, where high annual mileage comes together with low daily operation range, not to forget other parameters influencing the total cost of ownership (TCO) of the EV (Feng and Figliozzi 2012; Sharma et al. 2012). For example, an urban operational profile with high recuperation shares and regular charging possibilities during parking times is positive (Berg 1985). Based on these requirements, some parts of commercial transport seem to have best mobility characteristics, especially large...
shares of commercial passenger cars and light commercial vehicles\(^1\) (LCV) below 3.5 tonnes gross vehicle weight (GVW).

However, there is a research gap analyzing commercial transport with respect to penetration potentials of EV. One reason for this gap is the insufficient data availability in most countries which impede to identify and evaluate mobility needs or behavior in commercial transport. In Germany the data availability is becoming better through the mobility studies Kraftfahrzeugverkehr in Deutschland (KiD) 2002 and 2010 (KiD 2012a). The heterogeneity of commercial transport in different sectors and subsectors increases the necessity for an accurate evaluation in order to give the right incentives for an efficient and accelerated market penetration of EV in commercial transport. So far, such an evaluation in respect of market potentials of electric LCV in commercial transport is missing in the literature (cf. section 2.1.4).

Our objective in this contribution is therefore to analyze the German commercial transport and its sectoral characteristics in order to identify suitable sub-sectors, where electric LCV might be easily employed (i.e. a daily mileage considering range limits of EV and high annual mileages to compensate the high fixed costs). The resulting market potentials can be transferred in CO\(_2\) reduction potentials in German commercial transport by the electrification of LCV. In order to make the potentials more explicitly, we evaluate the sector of postal services\(^2\) in detail. Heavy commercial vehicles of above 3.5 tonnes GVW are not considered within this research as no market-ready electric vehicle is available in Germany which achieves all relevant market needs. Additionally, our data sample, the study KiD 2010 (KiD 2012a), has the focus on commercial passenger cars and LCV. Due to this, the data basis is not sufficient for a meaningful evaluation of heavy commercial vehicles in German economic sectors.

In the following chapter 2, we give an overview of German commercial transport and mobility studies. Then we present our analyzing method for identifying the share of ICEV which could be substituted by EV for each sector. In chapter 3, we implement our method to German economic sectors before we show a detailed analysis for the postal services sector. Concluding, the corresponding CO\(_2\) emission reduction potential is indicated.

Our research shows a methodology for evaluating substitution potentials for BEV in commercial transport and the corresponding CO\(_2\) emission reduction potentials. In energy research our results can be used for further works concerning the integration into the electricity system and its implications. Furthermore, it is a first step for politics to realize the potentials in commercial road transport and for companies to think about substituting their ICEV by EV. The research was done within the scope of the project iZEUS (01ME12013) at the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) in 2013 with a view to enhance research, development, and practical demonstration in the fields of smart traffic and smart grid with one focus on commercial transport.

### 2 Materials and Methods

#### 2.1 Commercial Transport

Commercial transport is complex because it combines the different forms of passenger transport and transport of goods (Steinmeyer 2004). Due to this, there is no uniform definition of the term commercial transport. The definition by Schwerdtfeger (1976) is used in most works related to commercial transport. It is denominated as the oldest accepted definition (Deneke 2004; Machledt-Michael 2000; Menge 2011; Steinmeyer 2004). Schwerdtfeger (1976) defines commercial transport as all trips executed by individuals within professional activities. Trips caused by personal interest and trips to and from work are not covered by this term. Commercial transport can be grouped or divided according to several characteristics. These may be weight (e.g. GVW), type of motor, vehicle registration, trip purpose, economic sector or others.

---

\(^1\) Light commercial vehicles are defined excluding passenger cars. Light duty vehicles (LDV) include both, LCV and passenger cars.

\(^2\) We use “postal services” for all delivery services like courier, parcel, express and postal services.
2.1.1 Shares of German Commercial Transport

In Germany 52.39 million vehicles (cars, LCV, motorbikes and trucks) were registered as of January 1st, 2013 (KBA 2013). 90% of these vehicles were privately owned. 10% were commercially owned. The number of LCV amounted to 2.25 million of which 62.0% were commercially owned³.

![Fig. 1 Distribution of LCV in different economic sectors in number of vehicles (KBA 2011)](Image)

The distribution of seven economic sectors (according to NACE Rev. 2 classification (Eurostat 2008)) with the most registered vehicles is shown in detail in Fig. 1. These sectors might be of special interest for further analysis as they have significant numbers of vehicles each. Most vehicles are registered in the sector other services (S) with nearly 500,000 vehicles. Further large sectors (140,000–200,000 vehicles) are the sectors manufacturing (C), construction (F) and wholesale and retail trade (G). The sectors transportation and storage (H)⁴, administrative services (N) and public administration (O) are smaller. Nevertheless the sector transportation and storage (H) is very interesting because the postal services are located here. In the postal services most LCV are registered (section 3.2).

In spite of its little share on vehicles ownership, commercial transport generates more than one quarter of all driven kilometers in Germany (commercial transport: 428.04 billion km, private transport: 161.97 billion km). Therefore, in average a commercial vehicle is driven more kilometers per year than a private vehicle. This fact is somewhat relativized as 40% of the mileage in commercial transport is realized by privately owned vehicles (KiD 2012b). Commercially owned LCV are used for 92% of the mileage in commercial transport.

2.1.2 German Surveys

Until the beginning of the last decade of the 21st century, not much was known about the characteristics of the German commercial transport (Wermuth 2006). Representative studies covering this part of German transport did not exist. In 2002 a series of transport surveys established a data basis of commercial transport that can be used for political decisions. Table 1 gives an overview of some of these empirical studies.

These studies focus on different parts of transport. The Fahrleistungserhebung (Hautzinger et al. 2005) investigates the annual mileage. The surveys Mobilität in Deutschland (MiD) (MiD 2010) and Mobilitätspanel (MOP) (Zumkeller et al. 2011) mainly contain observations on private transport. The survey Dienstleistungsverkehrsstudie (BMWi 2008) focuses on transport in services but the data is not available for public use. Finally, freight transport by heavy-load trucks is explored in the Güterverkehrsstatistik (KBA 2010).

---
³ Many privately owned vehicles are used for commercial purposes vice versa.
⁴ Transportation and storage (H) includes commercial passenger transport, transport of goods, postal services and storage activities.
Table 1 Selection of transport surveys in Germany

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of survey</th>
<th>Survey year</th>
<th>Focus</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fahrleistungserhebung</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Annual mileage</td>
<td>(Hautzinger et al. 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobilität in Deutschland (MiD)</td>
<td>2002 &amp; 2008</td>
<td>Private transport</td>
<td>(MiD 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobilitätspanel (MOP)</td>
<td>Annual since 1994</td>
<td>Private transport</td>
<td>(Zumkeller et al. 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dienstleistungsverkehrsstudie</td>
<td>2006/2007</td>
<td>Transport of service sector</td>
<td>(BMWi 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Güterverkehrsstatistik</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Freight transport</td>
<td>(KBA 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kraftfahrzeugverkehr in Deutschland (KiD)</td>
<td>2002 &amp; 2010</td>
<td>Commercial transport</td>
<td>(KiD 2012a)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For analyzing commercial transport with cars and LCV we chose the survey of KiD 2010 (KiD 2012a). It focuses on transport of commercially owned motor vehicles and includes motorcycles, passenger cars, LCV and a fraction of heavy-load trucks in Germany. Furthermore, the KiD 2010 is representative as it covers all German states and districts (NUTS 0 to 3). The survey was undertaken between November 2009 and October 2010. The participants were chosen by means of the resident concept. The selection of the participants was conducted on the basis of the Central Vehicle Register of the Federal Motor Transport Authority (KBA).

The datasets are classified using the methodology of the Federal Statistical Office (Destatis 2008). This methodology divides the German economy into 21 different economic sectors that are related to the international system of economic sectors of the United Nations (UN 2008). Among other characteristics, the survey queried the type of vehicle and powertrain, the type of the area where the vehicle is registered, the GVW and the age of the driver. In total the KiD 2010 contains 70,249 vehicle datasets. The participants had to fill in a driving log for each trip they realized on one day. The main results are single trip datasets with starting and end time, distance, purpose and parking place. Up to 205 different variables or characteristics are available for each trip. In addition, trip series were registered. With the help of two expansion factors it is possible to calculate the total and the average vehicle stock in Germany.

2.1.3 International Transport Studies
Commercial transport is a relevant part of the transportation and storage sector worldwide (OECD 2012; RITA 2010; WTO 2012). Nevertheless, comprehensive surveys like the KiD studies with micro data in Germany seem not to be available for most other countries. The available data of national or international surveys focus mainly on private passenger transport. There are some national surveys available for central and northern Europe, but the survey design, methodology, and contents differ widely (Madre et al. 2007). At the European level exists the long-distance travel survey DATELINE (2004) and two surveys that focus on tourism (Eurostat 2012; Madre et al. 2007). For the United States of America (USA) one survey series for daily household travel is available (Hu and Reuscher 2004; Santos et al. 2011). However, these surveys seem not appropriate for analyzing the commercial transport. Nevertheless, we assume different conditions in other countries, which are mainly driven due to regulation and the structure of the economy (European Commission 2012). Therefore, it is not possible to transfer the results of the German evaluation to other countries.

However, there exist studies that evaluate the potential EV market for commercial fleet operators, e.g. in the USA (Berg 1985). This study is based on nearly 600 interviews with fleet operators. It was already conducted in 1983 and observes potential markets concerning key factors such as miles traveled per day, industry type of application area and vehicle type. Two other studies focus on the vehicle replacement of fleets based on data for the USA (Feng and Figliozi 2012; Figliozi et al. 2011). These studies are interesting, but they do not consider important restrictions for EV such as single trip distances or parking times.

2.1.4 Studies on Mobility Patterns in German Commercial Transport
In Germany transport research with the focus on mobility patterns in commercial transport started in the 1970’s. The goal of this research has been to identify consistent clustering of commercial transport, e.g. to obtain homogeneous groups that help to analyze the flow of transport. This is done to obtain a better planning basis for future infrastructure projects e.g. road construction or measures to reduce traffic in certain areas. Cluster analysis is a popular and meaningful method in these scientific papers (Deneke 2004; Hebes 2011; Schwerdtfeger 1976;). Schwerdtfeger (1976) supports cluster analysis with a factor analysis, Deneke (2004) with a sequence alignment, and Hebes (2011) with a regression analysis. Others implement hypothesis testing (Schütte 1995) or support data
analysis with the help of acceptance analysis (Hacker et al. 2011). The clusters obtained are supposed to define homogeneous groups of vehicles that differentiate from the other groups (Deneke 2004; Schwerdtfeger 1976). Each analysis uses different methods and databases.

Schwerdtfeger (1976) focuses on delivery services with an own data collection. He identifies the economic sector, retail space and the number of full time employees as most relevant information for clustering the driving behavior. He detects a general transport peak for delivery between 8 and 10 a.m. and a completion rate of 95 % for all delivery orders until 4 p.m.

Schütte (1995) analyzes the business ventures of craft industry and their potential to reduce transport or to shift their main trips in time. He conducts an own survey and detects transport peaks between 7:30 and 8 a.m. and 3 to 4:30 p.m. Two thirds of the vehicles have daily distances between 21 km and 60 km.

Deneke (2004) uses the survey data from the KiD 2002 (KiD 2003) study. He aggregates the different industry sectors into six groups and uses 23 different vehicle categories. His results show significant differences in the amount of daily trips and the times of driving. Vehicles of the construction group, e. g., have transport peaks in the morning and in the evening, whereas vehicles of the service group are used all over the day.

Hebes (2011) also bases his work on the KiD 2002 (KiD 2003) and additionally on the Dienstleistungsverkehrsstudie (BMWi 2008). He identifies four clusters. His main parameters are the number of trips, the number of connected trips, the daily distances, and the time of road use. The driving distances of the vehicles in these clusters range from 60 km to 150 km. The time of road use ranges from 80 min to more than three hours.

Hacker et al. (2011) use the KiD 2002 data (KiD 2003) as well. They identify four profiles. Their daily distances are between 35 km and 125 km. Most trips start between 7 a.m. and 8 a.m., whereas trips end in a wide range between 2 p.m. and 8 p.m. The ending time depends on the cluster.

These research results show the diversity of commercial transport and that there is a lack of studies for evaluation the possible penetration of electric mobility in the clusters of commercial transport.

2.1.5 Requirements for Electric Mobility

As the potential of commercial transport for electric mobility is analyzed, requirements for electric mobility must be taken into consideration. The development of electric mobility is manifold because it influences the automotive, battery, electric, and communication industry as well as the transport infrastructure (e. g. charging stations). Besides further developments in all these industries, the main requirements for electric mobility derive from the vehicles’ owner and driver. The main technical and economic aspects are:

- Driving range,
- charging infrastructure and fleet management,
- profitability determined by TCO,
- emissions, and
- other framework conditions.

The driving range is determined by the average consumption and the battery energy content (capacity) for pure electric driving. The barriers consist in the low energy density of the battery and the high battery price. The battery density is multiple times lower than the energy density of petrol fuel and, therefore, increases the vehicle weight and decreases the payload space. Installing a range extending internal combustion in addition to the electric motor, the range limit is eliminated. This is, however, associated with increasing costs and (partly) a loss of emission free driving.

The second requirement is a sufficient available charging infrastructure. The accessibility to places with charging infrastructure influence the usability of BEV and the operation costs of PHEV. An alternative is to set up battery switching stations. This might be an alternative especially for local bus companies (Kaschub et al. 2012). Limited charging possibilities for an EV fleet require a complex fleet management with integrated charging management.
A specific comparison of the profitability of the alternative vehicle concepts (ICEV, BEV, and PHEV) requires a TCO analysis. The compensation of the higher investment of BEV or PHEV might be compensated by lower operation costs, subsidies or tax benefits.

Lower emissions from traffic will gain more relevance in future for drivers and companies. Some cities already have areas with limited access for some vehicles with high emission and congestion charges are tested or in discussion. Therefore, emission limits for vehicles are going to gain more relevance. In Europe this is already true for local emissions (e.g. by the European Regulation 715/2007) and for greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions (e.g. European Regulation 443/2009). Though BEV are driven locally emission free, the global emissions (well to wheel) could be determined by electricity emission factors.

Additionally, certain framework conditions have to be met. The acceptance of BEV in the society and by the user as well as the pleasure for the driver can influence the buying process (Ensslen et al. 2013). Furthermore, financing models like leasing contracts play an important role for the development of electric mobility. Consideration of all these factors would go beyond the scope of our analysis. Furthermore, the analysis focuses on BEV and not on PHEV as the observed restricting criteria have a stronger influence on the substitution potential and their emission reduction potential is higher. Furthermore, first results of PHEV charging behavior show that charging is often omitted for commercial vehicles due to missing incentives (Ligterink et al. 2013).

2.2 Methodology
To our knowledge, there is a lack of research considering the restrictions of EV for commercial transport and the question of possible vehicle replacement from conventional vehicles to EV. In the following we give an outline of the developed methodology.

2.2.1 Criteria for our Potential Analysis
In the following, we focus on the substitution potential analysis of ICEV by BEV. We base on the results of the recent research of commercial transport (section 2.1.4) and the requirements for electric mobility (section 2.1.5). An estimation of the substitution potential of ICEV by BEV and the thereby linked emission reductions can be done with the following two steps:

1. General sectoral analysis to identify the main relevant economic sectors and specify their characteristics. Criteria analyzed are number of vehicles, average mileage, operational profiles, daily trips, and parking times at night.
2. Specific sectoral analysis of selected economic sectors with more detailed criteria, especially detailed parking places and times. The objective is to roughly identify potential EV shares. With this result an estimation of the emission reduction potential can be done.

In a first step the relevance of the economic sectors is identified by the number of vehicles in the sector and the daily and annual mileages. Daily mileage is restricted by the BEV operation range and charging infrastructure available. The daily distance is presented with its arithmetic mean value, share of kilometer classes, median, and first and third quartile. Annual mileage helps to estimate the cost effectiveness. Information about the general operation times and shares is received by an operational profile. It is generated with a time resolution of a quarter of an hour, and may little overestimate the driving times. The operational profiles allow an identification of peak operation times and main parking times (e.g. during the night). First estimations of operation times and sufficient charging times can be done based on this data.

The second step adds criteria to the analysis: the number of daily trips, the parking times, and the parking places. All these criteria are helpful in identifying prohibitive conditions for BEV, especially concerning charging times and operation distances. A further differentiation between the vehicles’ mobility use can be made by analyzing the operation area based on district classes and by a further temporal investigation based on different weekdays. A temporal analysis based on different days of the week is often done with groups of weekdays (e.g. workdays and weekend).

Berg (1985) examined further criteria which are not considered here, as the database is not detailed enough or the relevance for a sectoral analysis is not given. First parameter is the fleet size of a company, which influences the
possibility to substitute single trips by other vehicles of the fleet. Second parameter is the vehicle size, which we only consider by classing into passenger cars and LCV. A further differentiation of car segments is neglected as well as high-speed requirements, which in general correspond to long trips.

All these criteria are more relevant in a particular vehicle investment decision, which is not defined and analyzed in this paper as we focus on whole group of vehicles and not on certain vehicles. Hence, we do not include a TCO analysis. Results for TCO can be found e. g. in Al-Alawi and Bradley (2013) and Plötz et al. (2012). This paper does not consider behavioral change in the use of vehicles, too, because the survey design of the KiD does not consider this issue.

2.2.2 Approach
Our analyses are mainly based on the German survey data of KiD 2010 (KiD 2012a). We combine the separated vehicle and trip data to time-step-based mobility tables and evaluate the data with standard statistical analyzing tools. Therefore, we take the starting and ending time of each trip to one of the 96 quarters of a day. The time between these two quarters (from starting time to ending time of the trip) is labeled "mobile" and outside time steps are labeled "parking". Next, we transfer the trip-based data to a vehicle-based table.

For further analysis of the parking places over time, we add additional information about origin place and terminus of each trip to each time interval between the trips. As the data for the survey is collected on one day for each car, the place of parking after the last trip sometimes differs from the origin of the first trip in the morning. To get a consistent database for one day, we allocate the parking place after the last trip to the whole period between the last trip and the first trip of the day. For vehicles that are not used the whole day, the actual parking place remains unclear therefore, we assigned the given value of the usual parking place to these vehicles.

The spatial operation area is depicted by the method of the German Federal Institute for Research on Building, Urban Affairs and Spatial Development (BBSR). It considers four different region types based on population density (BBSR 2011). For the temporal analysis of the weekdays, we combined the similar weekdays of Tuesday to Thursday and analyzed Saturday separately. Friday and Monday have slightly different profiles than the other working days. On Sunday commercial mobility is low. Additionally the number of datasets for these days is low, so they are not considered in the analyses.

The calculation of parking duration between the trips is calculated by simple subtraction. The annual mileage is calculated based on the information about the mobility behavior from the day of the interview, additional information (e. g. region, date) and the expansion factor (KiD 2012a). All other information and classifications (e. g. the daily mileage) is directly given in the data sets.

3 Results and Discussion
In the following, we first describe the results of our general analysis of economic sectors (section 3.1). Second, we focus on the example of postal services (section 3.2). We continue to determine a substitution potential of these ICEV by BEV and the corresponding CO₂ Emissions Reduction Potential in section 3.3.

3.1 General Sectoral Analysis
The data of the KiD 2010 (KiD 2012a) can be divided into the 21 economic sectors used by the European Commission and the German Federal Statistical Office (Eurostat 2008). The classification is based on information given by the owners of the vehicles. In most of the different economic sectors a high percentage of vehicles is driven less than 150 km per day (Fig. 2a). In five sectors (mining and quarrying (B), transportation and storage (H), professional, scientific and technical activities (M), education (P), and activities of extraterritorial organizations and bodies (U)) the share of vehicles that drive more than 150 km a day is higher. The box plots in Fig. 2b show the second and third quartile. The median is the boundary between these quartiles. It seems that most trips are

---

5 The survey data is available in different data formats. We use the SPSS-files and the SPSS software for evaluation.
6 150 km is chosen here for being a range that can be reached by some current BEV e. g. Smart E-Drive and Citroen C-Zero.
7 Professional, scientific and technical activities (M) include legal advice, tax consulting, accountant activities, consulting activities, architects, research and development activities, market research and advertising and other freelance work.
below 100 km. In this figure, it can be seen that only in the two sectors mining (B) and education (P) distances of more than 150 km are driven with more than 50 % of their vehicles.

**Fig. 2** Typical daily mileage of vehicles in different economic sectors

For the general sectoral analysis based on the annual mileage performance, the average distance of single trips, and the parking time of the vehicle over night and day we use the sectors manufacturing (C), construction (F), wholesale and retail trade (G), transportation and storage (H), public administration (O), and health services (Q) only. These sectors seem to be interesting because they fulfill following criteria:

- High number of registered vehicles,
- high share of vehicles driving inside cities, and
- heterogeneity of the mobility profiles.

The average annual mileage performance of all passenger vehicles in 2009 was 14,250 km. Commercial vehicles including trucks were driven 24,960 km on average (BMVBS 2011b). LCV in the sectors manufacturing (C), wholesale and retail trade (G), and health services (Q) have an annual mileage performance that is in between those two values. The sector transportation and storage (H) has the highest annual mileage (even more than the average of commercial vehicles including trucks in total) whereas the public administration sector (O) has an annual mileage that is far below (Table 2, column 4). Therefore, an economic operation of BEV for most of its vehicles is not probable in this sector. Only for vehicles with a high annual mileage and without an outstandingly high daily mileage (no more than ≥ 150 km) an economic operation seems possible.
The LCV of the examined sectors are driven less than 30 km in single trips on average, except the LCV of the transportation and storage sector (H) (Table 2, column 6). In this sector the average single trips mileage amounts to 50 km. So, the single daily trip mileage is below the expected barrier for current BEV of 150 km (Table 2, column 5). Thus, the batteries of the vehicles can be charged after a single trip if the next parking time is long enough and a charging infrastructure is available. The analysis of the parking time at night reveals an average between 7:20 hours and 8:45 hours for all of these sectors (Table 2, column 7). Due to this, there is enough time to recharge an EV over night at a normal household socket\(^8\), in most cases. If a special charging equipment is installed (e. g. Mode-3-Charging), charging can be much faster.

When considering the numbers of LCV from the KiD 2010 study (Table 2, column 2), which is based on the answers given in the survey by the drivers, the sector construction (F) has by far the highest number, followed by sector wholesale and retail trade (G), manufacturing (C), and transportation and storage (H). These numbers differ from those in Fig. 1 that is based on data of the KBA (e. g. construction (F): 662,400 vs. 200,975 LCV). Obviously a large number of the vehicles are operating in a sector that differs from the sector they are registered in. As we are more focused on the real driving behavior of vehicles, we use the data of the KiD (KiD 2012a).

Table 2 Characteristics of LCV in selected economic sectors (average values)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic sector</th>
<th>Number of vehicles*</th>
<th>Share of mobile vehicles</th>
<th>Annual mileage</th>
<th>Daily mileage</th>
<th>Single daily trips</th>
<th>Parking time at night</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>200.8 Thousand</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>15,235</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>662.4 thousand</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>13,292</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>228.5 thousand</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>18,431</td>
<td>114.9</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>180.7 thousand</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>32,432</td>
<td>165.7</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>69.8 thousand</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>9,247</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>23.9 thousand</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>16,719</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Economic sectors: C: manufacturing; F: construction; G: wholesale and retail trade, repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles; H: transportation and storage; O: public administration and defense, compulsory social security; Q: human health and social work activities

*Numbers from KiD 2010 study multiplied with the expansion factor.

Fig. 3 shows the operational profiles of the six selected sectors. The profile of the sector construction (F) (10,031 observed vehicles) has a distinctive morning and evening peak. In the morning 15 to 20 % of the vehicles are mobile and in the evening 10 to 15 %. The percentage of mobile LCV between these peaks is about 5 %. A possible explanation might be that vehicles of the construction sector (F) are used for the trip to certain construction areas and to return from them in the evening.

The wholesale sector (G) (3,583 observed vehicles) only has one peak between 8 a.m. and 11 a.m. The peak is followed by a slight decrease of the mobility rate until 4 p.m. After 4 p.m. the decrease is faster. At the peak around 15 % of the LCV are mobile. The profile of the manufacturing sector (C) is similar to the wholesale sector.

---

\(^8\) In Germany, household sockets have a (theoretical) maximum capacity of 3.7 kW based on 16 A/230 V which leads to about 7.5 h for a fully charge of a battery capacity of 27 kWh.
Fig. 3 Share of mobile LCV in every quarter of an hour of a day over all days of the week in the economy sectors
The transportation and storage sector (H) (3,282 observed vehicles) has only one peak in its operational profile as well. In contrary to the wholesale and retail sector (G), the share of mobile vehicles is almost twice. Between 8 a.m. and 2 p.m. more than 20% of the LCV are mobile and the peak even reaches more than 30%.

The sector public administration (O) has a high share of mobile vehicles between 7 a.m. and 3:45 p.m. It is clearly delimited from the remaining time of the day without mobility. The peak of mobile vehicles is at 7:15 a.m. with almost 25%. This share decreases until 3:45 p.m.

The profile of the sector health services (Q) (386 observed vehicles) has the form of a pyramid. A single peak can be seen between 10:30 a.m. and 11:00 a.m. It reaches almost 30%. Before and after the peak, the mobility rate increases, respectively decreases rapidly.

In general, vehicles are not used during the night. The mobility rate is lower than 2% in all six sectors between 7 p.m. and 5 a.m.

The described results show considerable differences in mobility behavior throughout all criteria. Therefore, a further differentiation of the economic sectors for analyzing the commercial traffic is reasonable. The results obtained substantiate the differences in the results of several of the traffic studies introduced in sections 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.

### 3.2 Specific Sectoral Analysis of Postal Services

The reasons for choosing postal services by way of example are promising key characteristics of the sector transportation and storage (H) which contains most of the vehicles operating in the postal services. These promising characteristics are e.g., low mileage for daily (< 150 km) and high mileage for annual time horizons which were evaluated in step one (section 3.1). Postal services are chosen for being an interesting sector of the economy with many trips inside cities. Hence, there might be a potential for substituting ICEV with BEV.

A specific analysis of the LCV operating for the postal services is possible by a specifying variable in the KiD 2010 (KiD 2012a) for this subsector. This attribute applies to 2,699 LCV. 1,954 of these 2,699 vehicles belong to the sector transportation and storage (H). The remaining vehicles are registered in other sectors. With extrapolation this results in about 151,867 LCV operating in the postal services in Germany.

First of all, because of its inter-sectoral structure, we repeat the general analysis for the postal services (step one). Then, we extend the analysis by the criteria explained (step two). Both steps are explained in section 2.2.1.

LCV in the postal services are driven 30,603 km on average every year. The average daily mileage is 141.5 km. The analysis of regional differences by separating the four district classes according to the methodology described in section 2.2.2 shows minor differences. Previously, we had expected that greater daily distances would be observed in rural areas compared to densely populated surroundings like cities or suburbs. This was not confirmed. In general, we can say that the regarded barrier of 150 km daily driven kilometers is not exceeded by at least 65% of all the registered postal services LCV in all registration communities. Thus, almost two thirds of these vehicles could drive all day long without being recharged. The high annual mileage can make an economic operation possible. Therefore, a more detailed analysis is necessary and useful.
Fig. 4 Share of number of daily trips of LCV for postal services

Fig. 4 shows the number of daily trips of LCV in the postal services. It is remarkable that a high share (> 40%) of the observed vehicles is used for more than fifty trips a day. This proves the assumption that vehicles used for postal services have many trips per day. Obviously all trips between major stops for delivering goods were seen as single trips. More than 60% of the vehicles are used for more than 10 trips a day. The share of LCV that are used for one to five trips daily varies between 2 and 14%. Especially for LCV with a high number of starts and stops seem to be favorable for EV, because recuperation of braking energy can be used regularly. So the efficiency of the LCV increases and the operation costs decrease.

Table 3 Average duration of the different parking times of LCV < 3.5 t operating for postal services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Parking at night</th>
<th>One daytime parking</th>
<th>Several times daytime parking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minutes</td>
<td>Minutes</td>
<td>Minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arithmetic mean</td>
<td>533.5</td>
<td>225.6</td>
<td>121.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>510.0</td>
<td>135.0</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 lists the different parking categories (parking at night, during the day once and several times) by the arithmetic mean and the median. On the average, the LCV park at night for almost nine hours and more than half (median) of the LCV (if BEV) could be fully charged at a normal household socket during this time. Even 87% of the vehicles park at night for at least six hours. Hence, a very high number of vehicles have the possibility to get charged at least 80% of the battery at night9. During the day parking times are shorter; therefore only partial charging at a normal household socket can be realized. Nevertheless, with fast charging10, the parking time during the day is in many cases sufficient for full charging.

9 A battery with e.g. 27 kWh capacity needs at a German household socket (up to 3.7 kW) less than 8 hours for full charging.
10 With a fast charging system (22 kW, mode 3 AC charging) about 75 minutes are needed for full charging.
In Fig. 5 the aggregated profile for the weekdays Tuesday to Thursday (a) and the profile for Saturdays (b) are shown. The profiles are similar to the profile of the transportation and storage sector (H) in Fig. 3.

Both figures have a single peak, but the share of mobility on Saturdays is lower. During the week (Tuesday to Thursday) the maximum of mobile vehicles reaches more than 50% between 10 a.m. and 10:15 a.m. On Saturdays the peak reaches only slightly more than 30%. Thus, around 60% of the mobility rate of working days is reached. According to the profiles only very few vehicles are mobile between 6 p.m. and 6 a.m. Therefore, charging at night would not be a barrier for most of the LCV.

According to the operational profile of the postal services including parking places (Fig. 6), the percentage of mobile LCV varies throughout the day. The profile shows that the majority of the vehicles are stationary during the night and early morning hours. During the day, the percentage of mobile LCV increases, with peaks during working hours. The operational profile is important for understanding the mobility patterns of the LCV and for planning charging infrastructure to meet the needs of the postal services.

**Fig. 5** Share of mobile LCV in every quarter of an hour of a day of LCV operating for the postal services

In Fig. 5 the aggregated profile for the weekdays Tuesday to Thursday (a) and the profile for Saturdays (b) are shown. The profiles are similar to the profile of the transportation and storage sector (H) in Fig. 3.

Both figures have a single peak, but the share of mobility on Saturdays is lower. During the week (Tuesday to Thursday) the maximum of mobile vehicles reaches more than 50% between 10 a.m. and 10:15 a.m. On Saturdays the peak reaches only slightly more than 30%. Thus, around 60% of the mobility rate of working days is reached. According to the profiles only very few vehicles are mobile between 6 p.m. and 6 a.m. Therefore, charging at night would not be a barrier for most of the LCV.

**Fig. 6** Operational profile of LCV of the postal services including parking places

According to the operational profile of the postal services including parking places (Fig. 6), the percentage of mobile LCV varies throughout the day. The profile shows that the majority of the vehicles are stationary during the night and early morning hours. During the day, the percentage of mobile LCV increases, with peaks during working hours. The operational profile is important for understanding the mobility patterns of the LCV and for planning charging infrastructure to meet the needs of the postal services.
Fig. 6 illustrates the parking places of the LCV in postal services. Thereby a good analysis of charging potential is possible. The figure considers all days of the week. Almost 40% of the LCV are not moved during the day. At night, more than 40% of the vehicles park at the own company. By including transition points and private places as potential charging places, up to 60% of the LCV might have a charging possibility at night. The share of vehicles parking at customer households overnight seems to be rather high. During the day, the share of vehicles parking at the own company is above 27%. Hence, there exists a charging possibility during the day for these LCV, too.

3.3 BEV Substitution and CO₂ Emissions Reduction Potential for LCV in Postal Services

When considering the previous results, we can give a first estimation of the substitution potential for LCV in postal services. It is not possible to combine all criteria for single vehicles at once, as mileage of one day as questioned in the survey is not sufficient as average (maximum) mileage of a vehicle. Therefore, the total substitution potential cannot be calculated directly. However, we calculate the minimum and maximum potential boundaries on basis of none or full intersection of all considered criteria. The maximum potential is given by the lowest single value. The minimum potential is given by no intersection of the three criteria11.

Table 4 gives an overview of criteria to calculate the substitution potential of ICEV by BEV in postal services for LCV. We use a minimum and a maximum estimation as possible scenarios. The maximum potential of the maximum estimation is 69.5% and for minimum estimation is 40%. Hence, the substitution potential is up to 60,750 or 105,550 BEV in the postal services sector. In case that the available mileage or the available charging infrastructure improves, the substitution potential will rise. As the average annual mileage of the LCV is above 30,000 km, this criterion does not limit the potential.12

Table 4: Criteria for estimation of BEV substitution potential for the postal services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Minimum estimation</th>
<th>Maximum estimation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daily mileage</td>
<td>≤ 100 km</td>
<td>≤ 150 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking time at night</td>
<td>≥ 8 h</td>
<td>≥ 2 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charging possibility</td>
<td>own company</td>
<td>everywhere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum potential</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>66.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum potential</td>
<td>60,750</td>
<td>105,550</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The calculated potential gives a basis for an estimation of the reduction of CO₂ emissions. We undertake this analysis for a whole country. In principle, this approach could be used to identify CO₂ emission reduction potentials of companies or cities, which are a recent research issue (e.g. Liao et al. 2013). Our calculation is based on the difference of CO₂ emissions from a conventional to electric LCV. The emissions for conventional vehicles are given directly by the manufacturers on basis of the fuel needed and standardized driving cycles for the specific fuel consumption. For the electric LCV the emissions depend on the specific energy consumption and the electricity used. The conversion of primary energy into electricity determines the emission factor for electricity. In most countries a mix (coal, gas, fission, and renewable energy sources) is applied. Electricity from coal has high emission factors (Heinrichs 2013; Walvekar and Guryar 2012). The reduction potential of CO₂ emissions is in this worst case not given. The best case is electricity from renewable energy sources that emit no CO₂ during the conversion process. Each country has another electricity mix and therefore another carbon dioxide emission factor (IEA 2013). In Germany and the European Union it is expected that CO₂ emissions are reduced significantly until 2030 (Heinrichs 2013). However, the corresponding emissions might differ during the day due to a change in this mix. Therefore our analysis on driving distances and parking times and the corresponding charging processes would be helpful for further analyses. Here, we would rather calculate two scenarios for the CO₂ emissions from electricity. The first assumes that the electricity consumed by BEV would be generated emission free; the second scenario assumes average specific CO₂ emission values for Germany in 2012.

11 No intersection is given by subtracting the single values by 100%.
12 Annual mileages of single vehicles cannot be calculated properly. They are calculated based on the one day surveyed.
Hence, the calculation of the yearly total CO\textsubscript{2} emissions reduction by commercial electric LCV is done with following formula.

\[ E_{\text{red}} = n \times d \times (e_{\text{ICEV}} - e_{\text{BEV}}) \]

- \( E_{\text{red}} \) total annual CO\textsubscript{2} emissions reduction in tCO\textsubscript{2}
- \( n \) number of potential electric vehicles
- \( d \) average annual mileage with 30,603 km in the postal services
- \( e_{\text{ICEV}} \) specific CO\textsubscript{2} emissions of ICEV in gCO\textsubscript{2}/km
- \( e_{\text{BEV}} \) specific CO\textsubscript{2} emissions of BEV in gCO\textsubscript{2}/km

For our analysis we take the official values for an example vehicle (Mercedes-Benz Vito) that is available as conventional and electric version. The conventional Vito 110 CDI emits 211 gCO\textsubscript{2}/km, whereas the Vito E-Cell consumes 25.2 kWh/100 km (Daimler 2013). In the first scenario, the corresponding CO\textsubscript{2} emissions with ‘green’ electricity amount to optimistic 0 g/km. For the second scenario we assumed specific CO\textsubscript{2} emissions of the electricity production in Germany of 576 gCO\textsubscript{2}/kWh (UBA 2013) which results in emissions of 145 gCO\textsubscript{2}/km. Therefore, our calculation with 105,550 LCV leads to an annually reduction of CO\textsubscript{2} emissions of about 882,000 tonnes for the first scenario and to about 213,000 tonnes for the second scenario. This is about 40 to 70 % in the postal services sector but still less than 1 % from the CO\textsubscript{2} emissions from German road transport.

Despite of these optimistic numbers for a high penetration of BEV for LCV in the postal services, the share of BEV in commercial use is still marginal. The development is, however, promising, as several companies from different sectors take part in research projects focusing on increasing the EV share in company fleets. Additionally, in the next years several BEV models of established German vehicle manufacturers will be launched. The real substitution potential might be different from our calculations, as we had to make several assumptions. For example we make the assumption that the vehicles can be charged in any case if they are parked at the own company or at a private place which might not be true. The assumed 150 km of electric range for electric LCV is also optimistic for now. But in near future the range might be higher, as first BEV show. We also did not consider PHEV as the electric share of driven kilometers is highly speculative. The most relevant restriction is the data basis of KiD 2010 study. The study only includes mobility behavior of 24 hours of each vehicle. Therefore, it is not possible to deduce from daily mileage the yearly mileage of single vehicles. And it is also not possible to evaluate mobility behavior changes during the week.

### 4 Conclusion

In order to make road transport to contribute to decreasing CO\textsubscript{2} emissions – like other sectors – an electrification of the drive chain seems to be a promising technology. Today however, the vehicle prices and the limited range make their field of application challenging. We identified by a bottom-up approach some profitable applications for LCV in German commercial transport, where ICEV could be replaced by BEV. These user patterns fulfill already today all the requirements of BEV and could be operated by lower costs. Depending on the current electricity generation mix, the CO\textsubscript{2} mitigation potential is already today about 40 to 70 % the CO\textsubscript{2} emissions from LCV in commercial transport of postal services (i. e. about 882,000 tonnes per year) – the future potential seems to be significantly higher.

Moreover, the results of the other generally analyzed sectors allow a first positive and descriptive identification for a rising distribution of BEV in commercial transport. Even though the operation range of BEV cannot compete with ICEV, the daily range of many vehicles is still below the current range of BEV. Another important indicator is the annual mileage which is relatively high in several economic sectors. Due to low operating costs and high annual mileages a cost advantage for BEV compared to ICEV can be achieved for many applications. We identify that the differences in sectors are manifold and, therefore, it is necessary to further analyze the single economic sectors or clusters with the available fleet data. For the postal services we identify an optimistic substitution potential of up to more than 60 or 106 thousand LCV based on the most restricting criteria, the charging infra-
structure availability and daily mileage. The current point in time seems promising for substituting ICEV by EV. Politics should support this process by setting the right incentives which seem, however, challenging as the transport participants react highly diverse (e. g. Alam et al. 2013; Bertoldi et al. 2011).

Further research is seen in collecting more detailed data for the heterogeneous trip patterns in different economic sectors. Such a data set would improve the estimation of the substitution potential significantly. Furthermore, a more detailed analysis on the corresponding CO₂ emissions during charging times by considering the corresponding electricity mix is another challenging research issue. Last but not least, the corresponding grid infrastructure should be compliant with these charging processes. The grid in some industrial areas might be stressed significantly. This has already been analyzed for residential areas by other authors (e. g. Paetz et al. 2013; Wairaich et al. 2013).
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